

From: [Scott Briggs](#)
To: [Piper Lever](#); [Jen Clancy](#)
Cc: [Harris Sondak](#); [Cliff Curry](#); elisedmorgan@gmail.com; [Margaret Bourke](#); [Sheridan Davis](#)
Subject: Clarification of my remarks re Business License Parking requirement
Date: Wednesday, May 5, 2021 12:44:27 PM

Piper, Jen,

I understand that I may not have been clear in my comments during the Town Council meeting re the parking requirement in the Business License for a specific number of parking spaces. The following is what I was trying to convey:

I agree that requiring 3 spaces for a rental property business license in Peruvian Acres is unnecessary given the fact the Land Use Ordinance already requires 2 spaces and that should prove adequate.

However, I strongly agree with the owners of the property on which the rental properties have located their parking during the winter that they have the perfect right to allow or disallow such use. I realize this may require the rental properties to plow down to where their actual parking spaces exist or to come to some sort of financial arrangement with the land owners to permit continued use of the winter parking area, but I do not think the generosity of the owners over the years should be rewarded by taking their property rights away.

It seems to me that this is a private dispute that the council can allow to work itself out as long as the Business License parking provision is removed. I assume with this, however, that the Land Use Ordinance parking provision will be enforced.

I believe my remarks re the need to factor in snow removal were clear to all during the meeting.

Thank you
Scott Briggs