

From: Sheridan Davis

Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2019 2:21 PM

To: Harris Sondak <hsondak@townofalta.com>; Cliff Curry <cliffcurry@altalodge.com>; 'elisedmorgan@gmail.com' <elisedmorgan@gmail.com>; Margaret Bourke <mbourke@townofalta.com>; Piper Lever <plever@townofalta.com>; John Guldner <jguldner@townofalta.com>; Chris Cawley <ccawley@townofalta.com>; Jen Clancy <jclancy@townofalta.com>

Subject: Fw: Ginny Marie's proposal

Just in from Ginny Marie Leines who will not be able to attend our work session but would like to ask the following of the District Ranger.

Thank you.

From: Kim Goldsmith <dkny17rye@mac.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2019 1:28:15 PM

To: Sheridan Davis; Ginny Marie Leines

Subject: Ginny Marie's proposal

Thursday April 18, 2019

Attention: Alta Town Council

Re: USFS Dog Restriction Discussion/Info, Etc

Dear Town Council,

This request is to help the townspeople of Alta become informed as to the facts and criteria that are effecting the request by the USFS to change, edit, adhere to, modify, or scratch the 1997 Special Order put into place regarding dogs on Federal Forest Land in Alta,Utah.

I would like to ask that the town council make a motion to table further discussion and decision making regarding the USFS proposal setting a realistic timeline that provides effective and adequate findings. For the purpose of due diligence, I am recommending a 6 month time frame for that purpose. If that is not realistic, the timeline would need to be changed.

Please provide answers to the follow questions. Thank you.

1. Please provide facts as to why the 1997 Special Order by the USFS was created? What animals are listed in this order and what documentation is provided for that purpose? Was there a NEPA study? Please be specific with documentation for best understanding. What are the precedents for the USFS request?
2. What examples that relate specifically to this request and its implementation by the USFS can you provide on other federal land?
3. Why is this request being made at this time?
4. Regarding "dog complaints"- Please provide documentation for that purpose. (It has been shared that the complaints **were not** documented with names, dates, or specific concern).

5. Have there been dog citations near or on USFS land? Please provide data accordingly.
6. Is water quality being used as a reason to draw on the 1997 Special Order?
7. If it is being used for that purpose, what rights do the USFS have in that venue?
8. Please provide the list of compounds referenced from water testing, what analysis has been conducted, what methods were used for the analysis and how the examiner determined that those compounds were coming from dog food.
9. Please provide the documentation as to the water quality changes as a result of the water testing findings, how this is mitigated, and what documentation confirms the “danger” for the findings to the public water supply.

This is not a definitive list of questions but a work in progress. I understand and appreciate your concerted effort in providing and sharing the facts that will bring the townspeople of Alta a complete and transparent understanding of the USFS request and the responsibility and rights of all concerned to work together. Without information that is viable, it is difficult to create solutions that represent the best in all of us. I suggest we take a step back, and allow due process to answer the questions that will then create a definitive landscape to work from.

Thank you for your time,

Ginnymarie Leines

801-244-9118

Ginnymarie@mac.com